

Determination of the Unknowability as Knowability of Order by Way of the Propertyless

Paris S. Miles-Brenden - 2016

Examine the following: One is presented with five a 'block' relation and assemblage; absent of properties; so as to determined.

- 1.) As a consequence a priori the order and properties are not determined; as a result the order is a priori determinable by way of the following logic.
- 2.) These blocks must be questioned as to their properties with blindness in mind; yet it is as simple as passing them by way of each other; as the order is not determined; and hence the order exclusively inclusive of the blocks can be determined by a process.
- 3.) First as there is a beginning and an end to their order, as the order is inclusively limited. Two blocks are of a shared and independent relationship of their relation unto the remaining three as a consequence. As these three blocks remain as three and the other two remain as independent; the three are of the middle, beginning and end; and the two come in-between for the establishment of order so aforementioned and so to be established; and as these sets remain different as the blocks a priori hold no order as a given. Therefore it remains that the blocks may be questioned by passing by way of each other.
- 4.) Therefore two blocks as propertyless may be passed for the remaining three blocks as propertyless between the others; by way of which the middle may be so determined. Passing these arbitrary two blocks by way of the remaining three therefore draws inclusion of a shared property of that of the nature of the end a beginning block; and determines as the latter remainder one such block as the middle block; which we may label as a transparent 'mirror', by the side of the remaining blocks.
- 5.) The two blocks which pass between the three blocks therefore determine their property in turn; and leave unto the remainder of the three blocks the neither inclusive nor exclusive property of the remaining blocks as two to one side and one to another side. This remaining singular block therefore remains as 'the' singular block with the remaining property of a transparent 'mirror'; and that of the two blocks so passed as their dual reflections; which we may label as the property of 'congruency' neither passing before nor after such as the middle.
- 6.) As a consequence the remaining two blocks to the side by way of which the two congruent blocks are so passed are of an end and a beginning; but as is insisted by the order, the pattern, the propertyless-ness of the blocks, and their identifiable proprieties as so determined, they remain as neither as determined nor not but by the following logic; and we may choose the remaining singular 'mirror' block to pass between these two; to determine future and past and therefore their identity as end or beginning as so is too as well; the enablement of choice.

7.) Whence passing the remaining singular block by way of these two 'congruent' blocks, it is eliminated that there remain as two such blocks before as the 'congruent' blocks from any shared property of the ending or beginning blocks as so individuated and separate, and whence passing one passes before and after beside either of that of the intermediary block. As a consequence the intermediary block determines the relation of the indication by way of the aforementioned property of the end and beginning block as a justifiable hypothesis for the sake of the preservation of order or that of the changing of order. From here it is determined that the prior and later block are determined; and so too is true that the 'congruent' blocks remain now as congruent blocks by that which is the exception of the 'mirror' block and the passing of the original two blocks as propertyless as passed and therefore identified by the exception which is the inclusion of the self consistent relation of order and of its determination.

8.) Finally whence passing either of one block by two or by way of three; saving the exception that is of two to one side of the mirror block and the mirror block now understood to the other side, it is determined that the two blocks to the side remain as exclusively of a shared property of prior and latter as well as end and beginning. Therefore it is so determined they discern the difference of their placement as 'before' or 'after' the former blocks so passed; and the block of the mirror therefore stands in the middle of all such five blocks.

9.) The congruent blocks remain as such as to neither let pass a propertyless nature of the blocks as then when determined as in contrast to the 'prior' and 'later' block, as remaining with choice of orientation and through such an order by way of the blocks by way of the mirror middle block with the remainder by way of that which was the determined order of the blocks as that which are of before and after & intimate the order of the remaining two congruent blocks as solid and inclusive to the order; without exclusion of the no fully established former and later block, and not nor that of but that which is of the remainder of that which is that of the mirror block.

10.) As a consequence that this order cannot be reversed through its establishment for its origin in propertylessness and the establishment of order, their true properties are identified. Therefore it is true that the properties of all such blocks are determined for the questions so addressed, the properties so hypothesized, and their identities. The congruent blocks as passing earlier and later therefore exist and so remain as in a relation of open and closed intermediary measure under balance of presentment of order for that of the latter and former such ending and beginning blocks, remaining with the exception contained as the intermediary block as the middle block as the 'mirror' block now whenceforth determined.

11.) The finality of this is that even through an unestablished order for unknowables; order may be recovered, established a priori for such propertyless 'blocks' and that of the relation of the order of an assembly of order without exception of absence of order may be determined. This is the establishment of order from out of which that of which is of chaos.

12.) Order is hence recoverable from that of chaos as determinability of disorder through universality of patterns.